

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Kenji Yoshioka	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3435
09/534,441	03/24/2000			0102/0108	
21395	7590	07/24/2003			
LOUIS WOO				EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICE OF LOUIS WOO 717 NORTH FAYETTE STREET				SHARMA, SI	UJATHA R
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2681	,
				DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	ŕ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/534,441	YOSHIOKA, KENJI					
havioury house.	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sujatha Sharma	2681					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. ☐ Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that the "Tendler" reference does not disclose a method where the cellular phone can be used for ordinary voice communication. However the applicant is directed to the drawing where a ten digit keypad as in an ordinary telephone is disclosed and further to col.4, lines 35-38 and 44-45, where it is disclosed that the phone is portable with it's own GPS. The reference tecahes the use of the phone in emergency situations but is not restricted solely to that function. .

Aujatha Sharma 3/18/03 (203-305-5298)

DWAYNE BOST

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600